STATE OF MAINE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 98-604

January 31, 2000

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION Investigation of Stranded Costs, Transmission And Distribution Utility Revenue Requirements And Rate Design of Van Buren Light & Power District ORDER

WELCH, Chairman; NUGENT and DIAMOND, Commissioners

I. SUMMARY

By way of this order we approve transmission and distribution (T&D) utility rates for Van Buren Light & Power District (VLP) effective March 1, 2000, the beginning of retail access to generation services in Maine. Under the rate schedules proposed by VLP, it will recover \$234,582 in annual revenue requirements. VLP has filed tariffs that contain identical customer charge and per kWh delivery service rate components for each customer. The effective average T&D rate per kWh for all customers will be 1.660¢/kWh, with residential rates being 1.702¢/kWh and medium and large commercial rates being 1.607¢/kWh.

II. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

The provisions of the Electric Restructuring Act require the Commission to conduct an adjudicatory proceeding to establish transmission and distribution utility revenue requirements, and to design stranded costs and rates for each consumer-owned electric utility (COU), prior to the start of retail access in March of 2000. 35-A M.R.S.A. §§ 35208(8), 35209(2).

On August 10, 1998, the Commission issued a Notice of Investigation which initiated a stranded costs, transmission and distribution utility revenue requirements and rate design proceeding for VLP. That notice provided interested persons with an opportunity to intervene in this matter. The Office of Public Advocate (OPA) filed a petition to intervene which was granted without objection.

On September 4, 1998, an initial case conference was held to determine the scope and processing of the case. At this conference, it was agreed that the parties would attempt to resolve the case through an informal process rather than through formal litigation. In making this determination, the parties recognized that VLP, as a COU, operates under different legal and operational conditions than do the investor-owned utilities (IOUs). Its customers are its owners, which gives its customers more control over its decisions and actions than those of IOUs'. In addition, COUs, under 35-A M.R.S.A. § 3502, can change rates at their discretion with limited

Commission oversight. Finally, instead of earning an overall rate of return on plant investment, the COUs maintain an operating margin. This margin is limited to a maximum of 25% of revenues by 35-A M.R.S.A. § 3503(C)(3) and is reflected when calculating revenue requirements. Therefore, when we reduce one component of revenue requirements, VLP may offset the reduction by increasing its reserve requirements to meet a reasonable margin allowance.

VLP's initial filing was made on November 10, 1998. During the past year, a series of technical conferences were held among the Advisory Staff and the parties to discuss the filings and further develop the case. A general consensus on principles, final rates and tariff language was reached and on October 15, 1999, VLP submitted a Chapter 120 filing revised January 3, 2000, reflecting these agreements.

III. DISCUSSION

A. Revenue Requirements

VLP based its revenue requirements on actual 1997 operating information as reported in its annual report filed with the Commission. It did not initially make any adjustments to this data. The parties recommended limited changes to the revenue requirements to remove one-time costs and adjust revenues and expense that were unlikely to occur in future years. VLP, in its final tariffs and supporting workpapers, reflected the changes agreed to by the parties.

We have reviewed VLP's revised revenue requirement filing and are satisfied that this level of revenue is required for VLP to perform its public utility service and to attract necessary capital on just and reasonable terms. We approve rates intended to collect revenue requirements of \$234.582.

B. Rate Design

In its filing, VLP proposes rate design changes to both class allocation and rate structures to bring its T&D rates in line with its costs of service. It is worth noting that VLP's last rate design and/or cost allocation filings were done prior to 1983. In this filing, VLP's proposed cost-based T&D rates would decrease the effective average total rate (including both T&D and power supply) for the residential class by 2% while increasing the rate for the large power class by 8%. VLP decreases the minimum charges and eliminates the demand charge for all customers, and proposes to charge identical rates to all customers. ¹

In Maine Public Utilities Commission, Investigation of Central Maine Power Company's Stranded Costs, Transmission and Distribution Utility Revenue Requirements and Rate Design, Docket No. 97-580, Order at 116 (March 19, 1999), the

¹ Area lights and street lights are not included in this proposal.

Commission concluded that a smooth and successful transition to retail access is more likely to occur if T&D rate design undergoes only minimal changes and causes no customers to experience bill increases as a result (the "no losers" principle). VLP's proposed revisions to class allocations and to rate structures will necessarily result in both decreases and increases to the bills of individual customers, thereby violating the "no-losers" principle. To mitigate bill impacts, two steps were undertaken. First, VLP placed a cap of 5% on the increase to each rate group's total average rate increase (including both T&D and power supply). Because some average rate increases exceeded 5%, VLP proposes to phase-in the rate design changes over a 3-year period. While VLP will phase-in its revisions to rate design, the full change to Company revenue requirements will be carried out on March 1, 2000.

Second, VLP calculated the bill impacts of its phased-in rates on customers of varying sizes in each rate class. In some instances, a small number of customers continue to have significant increases.²

As discussed above, we desire that customers experience a smooth transition to retail access. However, we recognize COUs' unique legal and operational conditions, and we accept VLP's assertion that the advantages of bringing rates into balance with costs will offset negative impacts caused by bill increases. Therefore, we will deviate from our stated "no-losers" principle and allow VLP to carry out its proposed rate re-design when developing its T&D rates.

C. Transmission/Generation Clauses

VLP has included in its rate schedules an automatic adjustment clause to reflect changes in the cost of transmission. This clause is necessary because generation providers wheel power to VLP's territory through IOUs contiguous to VLP. VLP has agreed to assume the IOU's wheeling charge so that the provider need not charge its customers a premium to cover this additional transportation cost. The charge under this tariff will change each month to reflect actual costs charged to VLP in the previous month.

VLP currently adjusts its rates monthly to reflect fluctuating costs of purchased power. Therefore, a transmission charge that changes monthly will not be a new pricing feature to VLP's customers.

We recognize that this transmission wheeling charge is an exogenous cost to VLP. We accept VLP's representation that its customers are accustomed to monthly rate fluctuations, and we accept VLP's treatment of this charge.

² For example, certain street light bills would increase by 35% or more.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have reviewed VLP's proposed rate schedules filed on December 30, 1999, and conclude the rates contained therein are just and reasonable and will provide a level of revenue necessary for VLP to perform its public utility service and to attract necessary capital on just and reasonable terms.

- 4 -

Accordingly, we

ORDER

That VLP's Sheet 1, 12th Revision; Sheet 2, 10th Revision; Sheet 4, 10th Revision; Sheet 8, 8th Revision; Sheet 9, 6th Revision; Sheet 10, 5th Revision; Sheet 14, Original; Sheet 15, Original, filed on October 15, 1999 and January 3, 2000, effective March 1, 2000, copies of which are attached hereto, are hereby approved to take effect for service provided on or after March 1, 2000.

Dated at Augusta, Maine, this 31st day of January, 2000.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

Dennis L. Keschl Administrative Director

COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR: Welch

Nugent Diamond